

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,373	RICHARDS, LESLIE W.
	Examiner Donald Heckenberg	Art Unit 1722

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Peter Jansson (Applicant's Rep.).

(3) _____.

(2) Donald Heckenberg (PTO Exmr.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-3, 7 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Lin (U.S. Pat. No. 5,945,140)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Jansson initially called to suggest amendment correcting claim dependency problem noted in last Office Action. Exmr. Heckenberg agreed to do Examiner's amendment as suggested by Mr. Jansson. Exmr. Heckenberg then noted that claims appeared to still be anticipated by Lin reference and suggested describing mating arrangement between female member and fin portion to distinguish reference. Mr. Jansson agreed to such an amendment (see Examiner's Amendment).